

ANNEX 1

Detailed Search Strategy

PubMed

(“Andrade Syndrome”[Mesh] OR “Hereditary Sensory and Autonomic Neuropathies”[Mesh] OR “familial amyloid polyneuropathy” OR “hereditary sensory neuropathy type I” OR “ATTRv”).

AND

(“foot”[Mesh] OR “foot lesions” OR “foot complications” OR “foot deformities” OR “foot infections”).

AND

(“treatment” OR “therapy” OR “intervention” OR “prevention” OR “management” OR “physiotherapy” OR “orthotics” OR “specialized footwear” OR “surgery”).

Filters: None applied

Web of Science (WOS)

TS=(“Andrade syndrome” OR “familial amyloid polyneuropathy” OR “hereditary sensory neuropathy type I” OR “ATTRv”).

AND

TS=(“foot complications” OR “foot deformities” OR “foot infections” OR “podiatric treatment”).

AND

TS=(“treatment” OR “intervention” OR “therapy” OR “management” OR “prevention” OR “orthotics”).

Google Scholar

Search terms: “Andrade syndrome foot complications” OR “hereditary sensory neuropathy foot treatment”.

Language filters: English, Spanish.

Abstract Main Checklist.

Topic	No.	Item	Reported
TITLE			
Title	1	Identify the report as a systematic review	Forks
BACKGROUND			
Objectives	2	provide an explicit statement of the main objective (s) or question (s) the review addresses	Forks
METHODS			
Eligibility criteria	3	Specify the inclusion and exclusion criteria for the review	Forks
Information sources	4	Specify the information sources (eg. databases, registers) used to identify studies and the date when each was last searched	Forks
Risk of bias	5	Specify the methods used to assess risk of bias in the included studies	Forks
Synthesis of results	6	Specify the methods used to present and synthesize results	Forks
RESULTS			
Included studies	7	Give the total number of studies included and participants and summary relevant characteristics of studies	Forks
Synthesis of results	8	Present results for main outcomes, preferably indicating the number of included studies and participants for each . If meta- analysis was done, report the summary estimate and confidence / credible interval. If comparing groups, indicate the direction of the effect (i.e. which group es favored)	Forks
DISCUSSION			
Limitations of evidence	9	Provide a brief summary of the limitations of the evidence included in the review (eg. study risk of bias, inconsistency and imprecision)	Forks
Interpretation	10	Provide a general interpretation of the results and important implications	Forks
OTHER			
Funding	11	Specify the primary source of funding for the review	No
Registration	12	provide the register name and registration number	No